

Council Meeting of
August 10, 2021

SUPPLEMENTAL #1 TO ITEM 9J

Honorable Mayor and Members
of the City Council
City Hall
Torrance, California

Members of the Council:

SUBJECT: SUPPLEMENTAL #1 TO CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM 9J


The attached correspondence was received after the item was prepared.

Respectfully submitted,

Danny E. Santana
Assistant City Manager

By 
Oscar Martinez
Planning Manager

CONCUR:



Danny E. Santana
Assistant City Manager



Aram Chaparyan
City Manager

Attachments:

- A. Correspondence

Martinez, Oscar

From: Cintron, Michael <Michael.Cintron@crowncastle.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2021 11:10 AM
To: Michael W. Shonafelt; Michael W. Shonafelt; Tripp May, Esq.; Martinez, Oscar; Garcia, Stephen; Wincele, Lizbeth; Sophiko Geguchadze; Whiting, Aaron
Cc: Cintron, Michael
Subject: Crown Castle Clarification Questions in relation to Proposed Updated Wireless Policy

WARNING: External e-mail
 Please verify sender before opening attachments or clicking on links.

Hi City of Torrance Team,

I hope that you are all doing well. We wanted to reach out to you to obtain clarification from you on some of the changes proposed to the Wireless Policy going to council today.

Specifically

- 1) The promotion of the utilization of existing (and secondarily replacement) SCE streetlight poles
 - a. As you know, SCE does not allow for the utilization of the existing streetlight poles. SCE ONLY allows for the utilization of replacement streetlights, with large foundations that make it very difficult to place without utility obstructions.
 - i. Why is an option that is not available (attachment to existing SCE streetlights) the #1 preferred option?
- 2) Discouraged Structures: We have not ever heard of SCE placing a new streetlight to allow for the placement of a Small wireless facility. Does the city consider us to be a "other similar utility company"? We are willing to place a new streetlight for our facilities.
- 3) Power meters: When a meter is needed shrouded smart meters are not available from SCE. The meter needs to be visible to an SCE inspector when needed. Can you please consider adding "if available".
- 4) Why is the city looking for the GO-159A certification ?
- 5) Why is the city looking for the annual radio frequency exposure compliance affirmations?
- 6) Why does the city not want facilities on their poles, such as traffic signals? We think that these could be really great locations for small wireless facilities.

Thank you in advance for review of these clarification questions.

Michael Cintron
 Permitting Specialist
 Permitting and Utilities
 T: (949) 383-1886 M: (310) 701-5780

CROWN CASTLE
 200 Spectrum Center Drive, Suite 1700, Irvine, CA 92618
 CrownCastle.com



This email may contain confidential or privileged material. Use or disclosure of it by anyone other than the recipient is unauthorized. If you are not an intended recipient, please delete this email.