

I N D E XCouncil Meeting held May 26, 1966, at 8:00 p.m.

<u>SUBJECT:</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
<u>OPENING CEREMONIES AND PRESENTATIONS:</u>	
1. Call to order	1
2. Roll call	1
3. Flag salute	1
4. Invocation	1
<u>STANDARD MOTIONS:</u>	
5. Approval of minutes	1
6. Approval of demands	1
7. Motion to waive further reading	1
<u>HEARINGS:</u>	
8. Case No. 66-21, Nutrilite Products, Inc.	2,3
9. Precise Plan 66-1, Lucky Stores, Inc. petition	3,4,5,6,7
<u>PLANNING AND ZONING MATTERS:</u>	
10. Proposed ordinance to reclassify Gemco location	7
11. Petition of Wechsler re vacant property at Artesia & Van Ness	7
<u>STREETS AND SIDEWALKS:</u>	
12. Sidewalks on Paseo de La Playa - Torrance Beach	7,8
13. Appropriation of 186.1 Gas Tax Funds	8
14. Improvement of Del Amo Blvd. from Hawthorne to Anza	8
<u>FISCAL MATTERS:</u>	
15. Expenditures of over \$300	8
16. Claim of Clyde M. Henderson	9
<u>COMMUNITY AFFAIRS:</u>	
17. Proclamation of POPPY DAYS	9
18. Resolutions in appreciation of L. Mendel Rivers and Johnny Grant at Armed Forces day celebration Resolutions Nos. 66-82 and 66-83	9
19. Proposed resolution re salary increase for City Manager Resolution No. 66-106	10
20. Remelmeyer re extension of time on water company purchase	10
21. Nollac re rubbish pickup Memorial Day	10
22. Nollac re Clean-up Day	10
23. Coil re oral communication from Mr. Wm. Faren	10
24. Beasley complimenting Armed Forces Day observance	10
25. Sciarrotta re moving in of dwelling	10
26. Vico re resolution for USS KEARSARGE	10
27. Horkay re street names	10
28. Mr. Wm. Faren re moving in of dwelling	10

Meeting adjourned at 10:30 p.m.

Torrance, California  
May 26, 1966

MINUTES OF A REGULARLY ADJOURNED  
MEETING OF THE TORRANCE CITY  
COUNCIL

OPENING CEREMONIES AND PRESENTATIONS:

1. CALL TO ORDER:

A regularly adjourned meeting of the Torrance City Council was held at 8:00 p.m., on Thursday, May 26, 1966, in the Council Chambers of City Hall, Torrance.

2. ROLL CALL:

Those responding to roll call by City Clerk Coil were: Councilmen Beasley, Lyman, Miller, Olson, Sciarrotta, and Vico. Mayor Isen was absent because of a conflicting engagement and Mayor pro tem Lyman conducted the meeting. City Attorney Remelmeyer and City Manager Ferraro were present.

3. FLAG SALUTE:

At the request of Mayor pro tem Lyman, Mr. Zeke Alter led the salute to the flag.

4. INVOCATION:

Minister of the Month, Reverend Milton Sippel of First Christian Church of Torrance, opened the meeting with an invocation.

STANDARD MOTIONS:

5. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Councilman Sciarrotta moved to approve the minutes of the meeting held May 17, 1966 and his motion, seconded by Councilman Sciarrotta, carried, there being no objection.

6. APPROVAL OF DEMANDS:

Councilman Beasley moved all bills regularly audited be paid and his motion was seconded by Councilman Sciarrotta. Roll call vote was  
AYES: COUNCILMEN: Beasley, Lyman, Miller, Olson, Sciarrotta  
and Vico.

NOES: COUNCILMEN: None

ABSENT: COUNCILMEN: Mayor Isen.

7. MOTION TO WAIVE FURTHER READING:

Councilman Sciarrotta moved that after the Clerk has given a number and read title to any resolution or ordinance on tonight's agenda, the further reading thereof be waived, reserving and guaranteeing to each councilman the right to demand the reading of any such resolution or ordinance in regular order. The motion, seconded by Councilman Vico, carried by unanimous roll call vote (Mayor Isen absent).

At the suggestion of Mayor pro tem Lyman, City Attorney Remelmeyer introduced a visiting councilman from Cota Bato City, Phillipine Islands, Sr. Macario Camello and he occupied the vacant seat on the Council during the meeting. He and his wife are visiting a cousin who is a Torrance resident.

Mayor pro tem Lyman gave a brief explanation of Council procedure for the benefit of the audience.

HEARINGS:

8. CASE 66-21, NUTRILITE PRODUCTS, INC. Formal hearing re request for permission to divide into three parcels property located at 190th Street between Arlington and Western Avenues.

Affidavit of Publication was presented by City Clerk Coil and, there being no objection, ordered filed.

This being a public hearing, Mayor pro tem Lyman asked if anyone wished to speak, first, on behalf of the proponent.

Rubin M. Turner, Attorney at Law, 9301 Wilshire Boulevard, Beverly Hills, spoke for the proponents in an appeal of the decision of the Planning Commission. He said the negotiations were all conducted on the understanding that there would be a forty foot private right of way; it was never contemplated that anything larger would be required and certainly it was never contemplated that a street meeting City requirements would be required. In arriving at a price for this transaction the square footage was computed on the useable land involved. Now, to be required to give an additional forty feet for street purposes is extremely costly. Councilman Sciarrotta reminded him that it is a sixty foot street; the setbacks would be required in any event.

Access would be made to Parcel C, possibly by a grant of easement in perpetuity, which would obviate any problem of landlocking.

Mr. Turner said his clients contemplate building a structure which would cost in excess of \$1,000,000 on Parcel B and he exhibited a rough sketch of the parking area and access to Parcel B, with visitor parking directly off 190th Street and parking for 74 automobiles. The private way on the east portion of Parcel B and the west portion of Parcel A would be solely for servicing facilities to the rear portion of the lot. There would be no pedestrian traffic and no parking on this side of the property and therefore vehicular traffic would be minimal. To ask for a street larger than forty feet would cause an extreme economic hardship to the parties. Another burden would be the construction of the street with curb, walks and trees to City standards. This access would be to serve only one Parcel, C. He believed there was no showing of any need for a sixty foot street.

Mr. Turner pointed out that this is to be the home office for the third largest automobile manufacturer in the world and they would not do otherwise than to improve the site in a useable and harmonious manner.

Councilman Sciarrotta asked Director of Public Works Nollac several questions with respect to this service street. Mr. Nollac said the amount of traffic is not the determining factor, and pointed out the fallacy of Mr. Turner's statements regarding cost. In any event, he said the construction would have to be to City standards as if it were a street. The only difference in cost would be the parkway trees and street lights; no sidewalks will be required. The amount of traffic is not pertinent; the fact that it will be heavy truck traffic is pertinent.

Mr. Turner said there had not been any representation by the City that it would be only forty feet but his clients had no knowledge of this street being required - there was no promise or guarantee one way or another.

In answer to a question with respect to access for fire trucks, City Manager Ferraro stated the proposed cul de sac would be adequate for turn-around. The access street would probably stop at the property line.

Councilman Beasley asked Planning Director Shartle the ordinance requirements for setbacks and Mr. Shartle answered that the ordinance does not provide, but the Commission felt setbacks would be desirable because an industrial development placed right on a right of way is not desirable and in the future the City may adopt setback regulations which would govern.

Mr. Turner closed his presentation with general statements as to the benefit to the City which his clients would bring.

Councilman Olson asked with respect to the right of way and Mr. Nollac explained the requirements for a "public street" which would require also a ten foot parkway and the Planning Commission has asked for an additional ten foot setback. In summary, the only difference between public street and private driveway in this case would be the requirement for street lights and trees - and the Planning Commission's requirement for the ten foot setback. Permitting this to be a private street to Parcel A, police problems would also be imminent, as in essence it would become a public way.

Mr. John Ahern, 1237 Glenwood, Anaheim, Manager of Engineering and Maintenance for Nutrilite Products, Inc., in Buena Park, said his company originally purchased this twelve acres for its industrial subsidiary, Industrial Molding Corporation, which is now building on Parcel C. The architects laid out the plot for Industrial Molding building which is now half completed and in checking with the Planning Department felt a twenty-six foot alley would be sufficient to serve that building. They were then contacted by the Toyota people who were interested only in the front part of the third parcel. The original documents were prepared under the impression that a forty foot street would be adequate based on what had been done in other areas of the City. In the staff session dealing with the lot split, Mr. Ahern became aware of the sixty foot requirement and the ten foot setback. Industrial Molding Corporation and Toyota have donated twenty feet for widening 190th Street. He summed up his request for a forty foot street with a ten foot setback.

Councilman Beasley moved to close the hearing. Councilman Vico seconded and there was no objection.

Councilman Beasley and Mr. Nollac clarified the street width question; normally there is eight feet on each side, in the paved portion, for parking, leaving twelve feet clear. The ten feet off the paved portion is for landscaping, utilities, etc.

Councilman Beasley moved that the lot split be granted, but with elimination of condition numbered "3" (which establishes the minimum building line setbacks of ten feet from the right of way line of the proposed north/south street). Councilman Sciarrotta seconded and roll call vote was unanimously favorable (Mayor Isen absent).

9. PRECISE PLAN 66-1, LUCKY STORES, INC. PETITION. Formal hearing on precise plan for development of Gemco Discount Store on property located on the north side of 182nd Street and on the east side of Crenshaw Boulevard - with recommendation of the City Manager.

Affidavit of Publication was presented by City Clerk Coil and, there being no objection, ordered filed.

Mayor pro tem asked for those who wished to speak and asked first for those who wished to speak for the project, to be followed by the opposition.

First to speak was Ray Saari of 1680 Cris Avenue, Anaheim, Property Manager of Lucky Stores, who stated the two egress ramps to the San Diego Freeway are a necessary part of the development, and he detailed the signalling operations, which he felt would minimize the congestion. He called attention to the traffic counts prepared at the Gemco store in Downey which he believes would be comparable to Torrance. He does not think the traffic to be generated by a Gemco store would coincide with the peak traffic hours of the neighborhood. The store would not open until noon and not at all on Monday.

He asked for clarification of Item 21 which he states is ambiguous and which deals with dedication of land for the streets, and suggested different wording.

As to any change in ingress/egress to and from the freeway, he said he would have to consult with his management.

Mr. Saari added that the asphaltic sidewalk width would be four feet. As to the cul de sac he said the condition says the developer will pay for this and on 180th Street "developer will install", and asked if the developer would also be expected to pay the costs and Mr. Ferraro answered affirmatively.

Working with a map or chart which delineated the area, Mr. Nollac pointed out the answers to various questions from the councilmen regarding setbacks, curblines and traffic problems.

Mr. Richard Frandsen, Real Estate Manager of Lucky Stores, noted the clarification of a couple of items. As to the cul de sac, he believed it could be worked out by a plan submitted by a property owner and which would not require condemnation. It would probably be next to impossible to have the owners give up part of their property. His company would be willing to bond when and if the improvements can be installed, they would be.

As to Item 3 of the Planning Commission recommendation regarding 180th Place east of the property to Ardath, he felt the City Council should on its own motion originate vacation proceedings for the street. He said some of these confusing conditions are difficult to explain to his company's management.

City Manager Ferraro told Mr. Frandsen all these matters could be solved by the posting of proper bond.

This closed the presentation by the proponents and various property owners from the neighborhood spoke.

Mr. Ward Gray of 18006 Atkinson Avenue, representing the residents of 18005, 18006, 18009 and 18010 Atkinson, said he is not strictly an opponent although he objects to some features of the precise plan. He had prepared a to-scale, 1/8 inch representing one foot, small map of the street showing driveways, existing trees in the parkway, street lights and three water meter boxes and compared it with Plan A prepared by the Planning Department. Plan A, he said would cause the removal of three and possibly four of the trees, relocation of water meter boxes and relocation of street lights; removal of four dustpan driveways. Four hundred feet of curb would come out and have to be replaced with 450 feet of new curb. Six thousand feet of paving would have to be changed which was just resurfaced last week. He much prefers a deadend street such as now exists to the proposed cul de sac. He said he could bring in a different proposal by the eight property owners who live at the four addresses represented by him. He exhibited his proposed alternate plan. The only reason for a cul de sac would be for the rubbish trucks which now turn by using one of the driveways or backing down from 180th Street.

His group does not agree with either Plan A, or Plan B, and he presented his own map to the Council for examination.

Mr. Nollac explained why a cul de sac is preferable to a deadend street.

Mr. Vernal Smith of 2816 West 182nd Street took the same position as did Mr. Gray. He spoke of the details of the signal cycles and commended the City Manager and Staff for their handling of the situation.

Charlotte Tarkington of 18014 Glenburn spoke of the problem of children going to school and where they are to walk. She also fears the crossing guards will be removed.

Sarah Fandy of 18007 Falda Avenue, mother of four children, said the traffic situation, if Gemco goes in, would be a horror. She read from Ordinance 1660 the regulations as to churches in a residential area. How much worse Gemco would disturb the residences! None of the other discount stores in Torrance are placed in the middle of residences.

William Nickerson of 3226 West 180th Street who has lived there for twelve years was opposed to the Gemco Store for many reasons and especially because he believed it would bring in undesirable individuals and groups. He said the neighborhood is in a state of development which needs upgrading, not downgrading.

Gerald Bethel of 18341 Van Ness Avenue, Moneta Gardens condominium, said the homeowners in Moneta Gardens are opposed to Gemco or any other discount store being located in the North Torrance area. It would bring not only an undesirable class of customers, but also much heavy traffic.

Mr. F. Fandy, 18007 Falda Avenue, said the character of the neighborhood should be retained as it is now - residential. He lives on the west side of Crenshaw and told of the difficulty in getting onto Crenshaw, or from Crenshaw into their tract. He thought it is not too early to try for some solution.

Les Duplan, 2623 West 181st Street, said over 1100 cars per hour come from the freeway during peak hours and commercial zoning will increase the traffic on 182nd Street.

Alex M. Arian, 3205 West 181st Street, said most of the points have been covered, but the people are opposed to Discount stores, principally, not necessarily to commercial zoning, as such. He pointed out the short distance to large shopping centers which could furnish the needs and Gemco would not add anything that is really needed. He suggested instead recreational facilities or a different type of store.

Arnold Ezratty of 3120 West 180th Street, objected to the carnival atmosphere which often accompanies a discount store. He has been told this is largely mail order business and membership is necessary. However, other stores which claim the same feature, do generate large amounts of traffic and congestion. CMA was originally a membership store and has changed hands a couple of times and even CMA was not built in the middle of residences. He urged the Council not to burden the residents with this kind of congestion.

Janet Blau of 17705 Falda Avenue, said if she were the store she would not want to move in where she was not welcome. If they plan on the neighborhood property owners getting tired of coming to meetings to protest, she said that will not happen, even though they are tired of having to appear over and over. This has been going on since March 10th either before Planning Commission or the Council.

Donna Wilkins of 3206 West 178th Street, the mother of five children, said trucks which are so large they can hardly go around the corners and recently one of them did turn over. She also fears the store would bring in undesirable characters. She thought the Council was conforming too much to what the proponents want and not what the residents need.

Mr. N. O. Drale emphasized emphatically the traffic problem and stated there would be more ingresses and exits to this store than at the whole Del Amo center, although Del Amo has almost ten times the area of this 8.7 acre location. He said Mr. Horkay, the Traffic Engineer has recommended several times that this double exit and entrance opposite the freeway be eliminated. To do otherwise would compound the problem that already exists. This street, less than eighty feet wide would have to carry as much traffic as Artesia which is 150 feet wide. Also he criticized the planned tilt-up construction. There are four gas stations on 182nd and Crenshaw and here will be another within 200 feet on Crenshaw. He compared this North Torrance problem to that of the Hollywood-Riviera residents with respect to the high rise a year or so ago.

Mr. Webb of 17826 Crenshaw said he does not envy the councilmen their task in making this decision and hoped they would put themselves in the places of the people who have homes in this area. He doubted they would appreciate a similar store practically in their backyards.

At 9:30 a recess was declared and the Council returned at 9:40 p.m., for a short rebuttal by the proponents.

Mr. Saari clarified some of the statements made by residents with respect to the traffic coming from the off ramp which he did not believe were accurate. There would be three phases of the signal. Also, although there has been shown considerable opposition, there was also a petition signed by three hundred people who approve of this development.

As to the type of building, he displayed an attractive colored rendering and said the other stores his company has are like this and the one which would be placed here would also conform to the picture. It is not a warehouse type of building, but an attractive molded concrete structure. He described the operation of the twelve stores in the state and said they are not a carnival type of operation. It is a closed membership store, and he believes would be a contribution to the neighborhood.

Councilman Beasley moved to close the hearing and his motion, seconded by Councilman Vico, carried, there being no objection.

Councilman Sciarrotta asked for details regarding routes to be taken by traffic from the freeway and they were furnished by Mr. Nollac.

Councilman Beasley said he believed this development would overdevelop the area which he knows well. Even the Planning Commission has placed twenty-two conditions to its approval. He said the advantage of the sales tax revenue is overshadowed by the disadvantages. There would be the necessity of an overpass, signals, moving water lines, traffic control, crossing guards, etc. He said it should be developed as a smaller type neighborhood shopping center with professional offices and smaller stores. For these reasons he moved to deny the precise plan, PP66-1. Councilman Lyman seconded.

Councilman Miller said his first reaction to the case was favorable because of the sales tax revenue, but now he believes the key phrase is the character of the neighborhood. Nowhere does such a store exist so close to homes. He would vote against the precise plan because the area is not suitable for a discount house.

Councilman Sciarrotta expressed concern for the children and the traffic problem and said he is not satisfied with any solution which has been proposed up to now. However, he did not believe there is any validity to the arguments with respect to Gemco bringing in undesirable people. All types of people shop at discount stores.

Councilman Olson said although he was not present at the first meeting on this, but he has read the minutes of all the various meetings which have been held on the subject. Gemco is a store which could bring a great deal of advantage and revenue to the City, but it is not proper to try to crowd it into this corner. The traffic problem is, and will become too great and certainly five gas stations on one corner is too dense. There is a great deal of vacant land available where there is no residence problem.

Mayor pro tem Lyman said he moved into this area in November of last year and is completely familiar with the corner. The traffic situation there is now drastic and will take drastic means to alleviate. There is a tremendous number of trucks and trailers from the freeway which serve the refineries. It is true they cannot make the turn at 182nd street without swinging into the opposite side of the street; there must be some solution. Compounding this present problem by placing a large discount store on the corner would present a monumental problem.

Roll call vote in favor of denial of PP66-1 was unanimous (Mayor Isen absent).

\* \* \* \* \*

On motion of Councilman Beasley, seconded by Councilman Sciarrotta, a recess was declared in order to hold the meeting of the Redevelopment Agency. The council returned to its deliberations at 9:56.

#### PLANNING AND ZONING MATTERS:

10. Proposed ordinance to reclassify that certain property located on the north side of 182nd Street and the east side of Crenshaw Boulevard described in Zone Change 66-2, Lucky Stores, Inc.

This is the ordinance which would effect the commercial zoning for Gemco, considered in Item 9 of these minutes and on motion of Councilman Sciarrotta, seconded by Councilman Beasley, it was ordered tabled by unanimous roll call vote (Mayor Isen absent).

11. PETITION of B. A. Wechsler requesting Council to modify conditions on the vacant property located at the northwest corner of Artesia and Van Ness Avenues.

Councilman Sciarrotta moved to refer this item to the Planning Commission for study and recommendation. Councilman Beasley seconded and it was so ordered.

#### STREETS AND SIDEWALKS:

12. INSTALLATION OF SIDEWALKS ON PASEO DE LA PLAYA AT TORRANCE BEACH. Recommendation of Director of Public Works with concurrence of City Manager, that an additional \$1,500 be appropriated from the General Fund to cover the costs of increasing the sidewalk width from four to six feet.

Councilman Beasley recommended instead that a five foot sidewalk be installed, with a four or five inch curb, which could be done for about the same price as a six foot sidewalk and so moved. Councilman Vico seconded and it was noted the motion includes the appropriation of the required additional \$1500 from the General Fund. Roll call vote was:

AYES: COUNCILMEN: Beasley, Lyman, Miller, Olson,  
Sciarrotta and Vico.  
NOES: COUNCILMEN: None  
ABSENT: COUNCILMEN: Mayor Isen.

13. APPROPRIATION OF 186.1 GAS TAX FUNDS: Recommendation of Public Works Director with concurrence of City Manager, that \$650 of 186.1 Gas Tax Funds be appropriated for construction of 130 feet of curb and gutter on 182nd Street at St. Andrews Place and that informal bids be invited for the work.

Councilman Beasley moved to concur as to both phases of the recommendation and his motion, seconded by Councilman Vico, carried as follows:

AYES: COUNCILMEN: Beasley, Lyman, Miller, Olson,  
Sciarrotta and Vico  
NOES: COUNCILMEN: None  
ABSENT: COUNCILMEN: Mayor Isen.

14. IMPROVEMENT OF DEL AMO BOULEVARD FROM HAWTHORNE TO ANZA. Recommendation of Public Works Director with concurrence of City Manager that an additional amount of \$900 from currently appropriated funds (County Highways through Cities) be authorized to reimburse the Dominguez Water Company for relocation costs.

Councilman Beasley moved to concur and his motion, seconded by Councilman Sciarrotta, carried as follows:

AYES: COUNCILMEN: Beasley, Lyman, Miller, Olson,  
Sciarrotta and Vico.  
NOES: COUNCILMEN: None  
ABSENT: COUNCILMEN: Mayor Isen.

FISCAL MATTERS:

15. EXPENDITURES OF OVER \$300.

Councilman Sciarrotta moved to concur in approval of the following six items:

1. \$1100.36 to Hersey-Sparling Meter Co. for two only three inch meters, Model CT, with check valves on main and intermediate flow lines, to be installed at Hickory and Lago Seco Parks.
2. \$312.76 to Smardan Supply Co. for three drinking fountains to be installed at El Prado Park, a Park Department item.
3. \$795.60 to L. A. Police Revolver and Athletic Club for 900 lbs of used one-shot .38 caliber brass to be used by the Torrance Police Department for pistol range ammunition.
4. \$950 to International Harvester Factory for one factory rebuilt engine to be installed in a City packer which is out of service.
5. \$712.92 to Brooks Products Inc. for six meter boxes and extensions to be installed at Bullocks Fashion Square.
6. \$800 to Voorheis, Trindle-Nelson, Inc. for engineering service to design traffic signal installation at the intersection of 244th Street and Hawthorne Boulevard.

Councilman Miller seconded and roll call vote was:

AYES: COUNCILMEN: Beasley, Lyman, Miller, Olson, Sciarrotta, Vico.  
NOES: COUNCILMEN: None  
ABSENT: COUNCILMEN: Mayor Isen.

16. CLAIM OF CLYDE M. HENDERSON for damages to his vehicle on May 6, 1966. Recommendation of City Clerk to deny and refer to the City Attorney.

Councilman Sciarrotta moved to deny and refer the item to the City Attorney. Councilman Miller seconded and there was no objection.

COMMUNITY AFFAIRS:

17. PROCLAMATION:

Mayor pro tem Lyman read and proclaimed FRIDAY AND SATURDAY, May 27 and 28, 1966 as POPPY DAYS.

18. RESOLUTIONS IN APPRECIATION OF SERVICES OF L. MENDEL RIVERS AND JOHNNY GRANT.

At the request of Mayor pro tem Lyman, City Clerk Coil assigned a number and read title to:

RESOLUTION NO. 66-82

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TORRANCE EXPRESSING APPRECIATION TO THE HONORABLE L. MENDEL RIVERS, CHAIRMAN OF THE UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES ON THE OCCASION OF THE SEVENTH ANNUAL ARMED FORCES DAY CELEBRATION.

Councilman Sciarrotta moved to adopt Resolution No. 66-82 and his motion, seconded by Councilman Vico, carried by unanimous roll call vote (Mayor Isen absent.)

At the request of Mayor pro tem Lyman City Clerk Coil assigned a number and read title to:

RESOLUTION NO. 66-83

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TORRANCE EXPRESSING APPRECIATION TO JOHNNY GRANT FOR HIS CONTRIBUTION AS MASTER OF CEREMONIES AT THE SEVENTH ANNUAL ARMED FORCES DAY LUNCHEON.

Councilman Beasley moved to adopt Resolution No. 66-83 and his motion, seconded by Councilman Sciarrotta, carried by unanimous roll call vote (Mayor Isen absent).

Councilman Sciarrotta moved that both resolutions be prepared in perma plaque form and his motion, seconded by Councilman Beasley, carried as follows:

AYES: COUNCILMEN: Beasley, Lyman, Miller, Olson,  
Sciarrotta, Vico.  
NOES: COUNCILMEN: None  
ABSENT: COUNCILMEN: Mayor Isen.

City Manager Ferraro expressed appreciation to the various citizens and Staff who assisted in making Armed Forces Day a success.

Councilman Sciarrotta said he does not believe the parade and other activities are getting the publicity which they deserve and suggested some means of getting more coverage.

City Manager Ferraro called attention to the report on a study of water rates and storage problem transmitted to the councilmen and said it would be discussed some time during June.

19. PROPOSED RESOLUTION INCREASING SALARY OF CITY MANAGER.

At the request of Mayor pro tem Lyman, City Clerk Coil assigned a number and read title to:

RESOLUTION NO. 66-106

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TORRANCE PROVIDING FOR AN INCREASE IN SALARY FOR THE CITY MANAGER RETROACTIVE TO JANUARY 2, 1966.

Councilman Sciarrotta moved to adopt Resolution No. 66-106 and his motion, seconded by Councilman Beasley, carried as follows:

AYES: COUNCILMEN: Beasley, Lyman, Miller, Olson,  
Sciarrotta and Vico

NOES: COUNCILMEN: None

ABSENT: COUNCILMEN: Mayor Isen.

20. City Attorney Remelmeyer asked for a two weeks extension of the time to present his report on the purchase of the Dominguez and California Water Service lines. It had been promised for this next Tuesday, but there are a couple of matters to incorporate. There was no objection.

21. Director of Public Works Nollac announced there would be regular rubbish pick-up on Monday, May 30th, a holiday.

22. Director of Public Works Nollac distributed a report on the May 14th Clean-up Day - 474 tons and 222 trips. He said it is a good program and serves an obvious need.

23. City Clerk Coil said there had been an omission from the agenda on which Mr. Wm. Faren would like to be heard as an oral communication.

24. Councilman Beasley complimented the Seventh Armed Forces Day Parade as being the best yet.

25. Councilman Sciarrotta called attention to a request he made some time ago regarding the moving in of a dwelling in a district of expensive homes. City Manager Ferraro said a study of relocation procedures is being made.

26. Councilman Vico asked for a resolution of appreciation to the USS KEARSARGE for the facilities furnished South High School students for their Senior Prom. It will be prepared.

27. Traffic Engineer Horkay said several advance street names signs have been installed and suggested the Council take a look at them.

28. Mr. Wm. Faren, 2272 West 229th Street, came forward with respect to the moving in of the dwelling mentioned by Councilman Sciarrotta in Item 25. He said it is not compatible to the other homes in the area. Director of Building and Safety McKinnon pointed out an inconsistency, in that the man could build this house on the property and hence there seems no reason he could not move it in. There was considerable discussion by the councilmen, Mr. Faren and Staff members culminating in the decision that an appeal of the Planning Commission decision be heard by the City Council. Councilman Sciarrotta so moved and his motion was seconded by Councilman Olson. There was no objection. The date is set for May 31, a 5:30 meeting.

Councilman Beasley moved to review the ordinance on move-ins and his motion, seconded by Councilman Vico, carried by unanimous roll call vote (Mayor Isen absent).

The meeting was regularly adjourned at 10:30 p.m.

*Vernon W. Coil*

Vernon W. Coil, Clerk of the  
City of Torrance, California

APPROVED:

*Albert J. ...*

Mayor of the City of Torrance