INDEX

Council Meeting held June 27, 1961, 8:00 P.M.

Torrance, California
June 27, 1961

MINUTES OF A REGULAR MEETING
OF THE TORRANCE CITY COUNCIL

1. The City Council of the City of Torrance convened in a regular session at 8:00 P.M., June 27, 1961, in the Council Chamber of the City Hall.

2. ROLL CALL:

Councilmen responding to roll call by Deputy City Clerk Whitacre were: COUNCILMEN: Beasley, Benstead, Blount, Bradford, Drale, Vico, Isen. ABSENT: None. Also present were City Attorney Remelmeyer and Acting City Manager Bramhall.

3. SALUTE TO THE FLAG:

At the request of Mayor Isen, Commissioner Halstead of the Planning Commission led the salute to our Flag.

4. INVOCATION:

Councilman Drale opened the meeting with an invocation.

5. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Mayor Isen asked that there be a continuance on approval of the minutes of the meeting held June 20, 1961, as the Councilmen had not had an opportunity to study them. There was no objection.

The Minute Secretary notes a correction in Item 42, page 21 of the June 20th meeting, by adding the following sentence immediately following the first sentence of the second paragraph of Item 42:

"It is further provided that California State Cooperative Personnel Services be retained for examining services in sensitive areas and in the Fire Promotional series."

6. APPROVAL OF DEMANDS:

Councilman Benstead moved all bills properly audited be paid. Motion, seconded by Councilman Beasley, carried by unanimous roll call vote.

Mayor Isen asked for consideration of the time of meeting next week, Tuesday being the Fourth of July, a national holiday. It was also mentioned that there will have to be an adjourned meeting to decide on some issues which are necessary before the end of the fiscal year. The date set for next week's meeting is Wednesday July 5, 1961, at 5:30 P.M. There were no objections thereto.

Next, Mayor Isen asked if there was a representative of Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway present (Item 10 on the agenda). There was such representative and he was advised that Item 10 will be considered later at an 8:00 P.M. meeting, which was satisfactory.

Mayor Isen noted there were people present interested in the Dan Butcher matter which was held until this meeting and asked why it was not on the agenda.

Mr. Kenneth Watts asked if this Butcher matter which is inadvertently not on this agenda, could be held until after August 1st, as he is to be out of town until then.

Councilman Vico asked why it was not on the agenda and Councilman Beasley explained that properly the next time it will come on the agenda will be at the time after the ordinance reclassifying the property has been drafted. Recalling the question on the four-man Council votes, City Attorney Remelmeyer said the motion made by the Council is only tentative; that the final action on which an affirmative vote of four members of the Council is required, is when the ordinance comes up in the regular course of business. The only legal effect of the motion made last week is to instruct City Attorney Remelmeyer to draft the pertinent ordinance. Mr. Remelmeyer said this same type of controversy came up four or five years ago and at that time the Council asked for amendment of the ordinance to cover the situation. By that amendment provision is made that the rezoning does not take place by the motion but by passage of the ordinance. On question by Councilman Bradford, Mr. David Cleveland, representing the Planning Division, said the ordinance will come up for its first reading at the Wednesday, July 5th meeting, at 5:30 P.M.

Mayor Isen said at that time, he plans unless the majority of the Council votes otherwise, to permit any interested persons to be heard. Regardless of the fact that the hearing has been closed, Mayor Isen said he would not foreclose anyone who wishes to be heard. It was agreed, however, that any consideration next week will not be called a hearing; the hearing has been closed.

In order to accommodate some persons interested in cases listed under Items 7, 8 and 9, respectively, Mayor Isen asked that they be heard first. Item 6, Case No. 711, will be a long hearing.

Mayor Isen gave a brief explanation of Council procedure for the benefit of the audience, and proceeded with items mentioned above, out of regular sequence.

7. CASE NO. 712 - VARIANCE. THOMAS AND IRENE ADLER, Petitioners. Request for variance for a 36-unit apartment house at 20631 Amie Avenue between Emerald and Garnet in Zone A-1, described as a portion of Lot 20, Tract 3458, recommended for approval. Submitted were letter of transmittal, location sketch, excerpt from Planning Commission minutes of June 7, 1961, and plot plan.

Mayor Isen suggested in the future the preparation of the agenda might better provide for shorter Planning hearings to take place before those which are known to be long and complicated.

Deputy City Clerk Whitacre presented Affidavit of Publication and there being no objection, Mayor Isen ordered it filed.

Mayor Isen asked if anyone in the audience wished to be heard regarding Case No. 712; there was no response.

Councilman Blount moved to close the hearing. Motion, seconded by Councilman Bradford, carried, there being no objection.

Councilman Drale moved to concur in the recommendation of approval by the Planning Commission. Motion, seconded by Councilman Benstead, carried by unanimous roll call vote.

8. CASE NO. 713 - VARIANCE. DEL AMO CHURCH OF CHRIST, Petitioner. Request for variance to erect church building and facilities on a portion of Lot 25, Tract 3218, situated on the corner of Emerald and Victor Streets in Zone M-1, recommended for approval. Submitted were letter of transmittal, sketch, excerpt from Planning Commission minutes of June 7, 1961, and plot plan.

Deputy City Clerk Whitacre presented Affidavit of Publication and there being no objection, it was ordered filed.

Mayor Isen asked if anyone present wished to speak on Case No. 713; there was no response.

Councilman Blount moved to close the hearing. Motion, seconded by Councilman Vico, carried, there being no objection.

Councilman Benstead moved to concur in the recommendation of approval by the Planning Division. Motion, seconded by Councilman Vico, carried by unanimous roll call vote.

9. CASE NO. 715 - VARIANCE, GERALD EASTHAM, M.D., Petitioner. Request for variance of off-street parking ordinance to construct office space from five existing parking spaces with alley entrance, at 2021 Carson Street, between Arlington and Gramercy in Zone C-1, being Lot 18, Block 16, Torrance Tract. Recommendation is for approval. Submitted were letter of transmittal, location sketch, excerpt from Planning Commission minutes of June 7, 1961, and plot plan.

Councilman Blount asked that this case be held for two weeks as he has received word that there is some misinformation regarding the case. Councilman Bradford seconded the motion and there being no objection, Case No. 715 was ordered continued for two weeks.

6. CASE NO. 711 - CHANGE OF ZONE. John Marble (Don Wilson), petitioner. From M-2 to R-1 and C-1 on Lots 5 to 18 inclusive, 27 to 40 inclusive, and 49 to 54 inclusive of Tract 2200. Recommended for denial. Submitted were letter of transmittal, excerpt from Planning Commission minutes of June 7, 1961, and plot plan.

Affidavit of Publication was presented by Deputy City Clerk Whitacre and there being no objection, Mayor Isen ordered it filed.

Mayor Isen asked Deputy City Clerk Whitacre for any letters of protest or approval. Mr. Whitacre also presented four petitions with about 200 signatures affixed, representing property owners adjacent to the territory, all expressing approval of the change of zone.

Councilman Drale asked by whom they were circulated, but there was no notation of the circulator's name. Mayor Isen thought there was no regulation so requiring.

At the request of Mayor Isen, Deputy City Clerk Whitacre read the body of the petition, as well as additional communications as follows:

Petition containing about 25 signatures, approving
Norman J. Harris, 2604 West 225th St., approving
A. A. Katz, 22803 Fern Avenue, approving
Dale C. Grazinger, 2462 West 233rd, approving
Socony Mobil Oil by R. I. Plomert, Jr., protesting
Frank Uyzralek, Jr., protesting
Pamela Ina Lyman Jellinga, 7137½ Remmet Ave. Canoga Park, protesting
Torrance Industrial Tax Committee, R. I. Plomert, Jr., protesting.

Mayor Isen announced the matter is now open for discussion from the audience and asked first that those in favor of rezoning be heard.

Mr. Whitacre mentioned the report from the Chamber of Commerce and Mayor Isen said the Councilmen have copies in their possession.

Mr. Abe Robinson, President of the Downtown Merchants Association, spoke, asking to go on record as favoring the rezoning for two or three different reasons: the area is saturated with retail business; lack of people to provide the amount of necessary business; property has become so expensive that industry does not come in. He said the school tax matter is not one which can be overcome in such an easy fashion as denying the petition for change of zone.

Mr. Don Wilson, proposed purchaser, speaking for the petitioner spoke at length and displayed charts and figures, supporting his contentions which have also been presented to the Planning Commission at the three hearings before that body. He asked particularly that the Council disregard any protest which may come in from Union Oil Company, quoting from the minutes of the May 3rd Planning Commission meeting in which that company is quoted as favoring granting of the application.

Mr. Ray Wyatt of 2640 West 225th Street, spoke in favor of rezoning the subject property. He felt there are logical reasons, as well as stating there is no industry clamoring to come into this particular property.

Dr. Rod Stetson of 1427 El Prado, spoke in approval of the change of zone, from the standpoint of aesthetic values as well as business and economic factors. He represented a group of physicians who plan to build a medical center in the neighborhood.

Mr. Murray Williamson, 1535 Arroyo Seco, Pasadena, representing the Marble interests, told of the attempts to sell the property to industrial users, with no success.

Mr. Frank C. Beck of 2253 Greenwood Avenue, spoke from the standpoint of health and welfare of the children which he states cannot be compared to any tax saving or dollar factor.

Mr. Dan Revill, 22710 Greenwood Avenue, favored rezoning and questioned the divergent opinions stated by the Chamber of Commerce and the Downtown Merchants Association, which seem to conflict.

Mr. William E. Foster of 2572 west 230th Street who stated his profession is the employment of several hundred people for a research and development firm in Los Angeles International Airport. Eighty-two percent of these people reside in Torrance. He spoke from the standpoint of pleasantness of living in a residential area not sandwiched between industrial plants.

It appearing there was no other person who wished to make a presentation in favor of rezoning, Mayor Isen asked to hear from those in opposition.

Mr. George Heaton, General Superintendent of Rome Cable, spoke in protest, but said he represents himself, his wife and child, not Eastern industry. He called attention to the fact that Mr. Wilson neither lives nor has his place of business within the City of Torrance. He spoke of the need to preserve a reasonable balance between types of land, and live up to the "All-American" award which we have received. The preservation of a good business climate was stressed by Mr. Heaton. He talked also of ad valorem taxes and said the homeowners were given to understand at the time they purchased that the surrounding land was M-2. Also, he brought out the potential hazard of the tank farm and the Airport so close to these proposed homes. He challenged Mr. Wilson's statement that industry is not carrying its share of the tax burden.

Mr. R. I. Plomert, Jr., spoke in opposition as a representative of Socony Mobil Oil, as well as Torrance Industries Tax Committee. He asked permission to correct what he alleged to be a misstatement by Mr. Wilson as to the taxload carried by his company, which he states comes to a total of $24,982,050, for which the company has receipted tax bills. He mentioned the company's participation in civic programs, industrial payrolls, and asked that the Council concur in the Planning Commission's recommendation of denial.

A recess was declared at 9:25 and the Council reconvened at 9:42 P.M.

Mr. Arthur Woodcock, who resides in Palos Verdes Estates, representing the Industrial Development Committee of the Chamber of Commerce, reported on the meetings which his committee had held, as well as the individual work of the members in preparing the recommendations submitted to the Council and added an exhibit thereto entitled PRINCIPLES OF INDUSTRIAL ZONING AND PERFORMANCE STANDARDS (Exhibit #3) The net result was that the Committee did not feel qualified to give an opinion as to the best usage of the property. The recommendation of the Committee is, believing it the responsibility of the City Council that the Council spend the time and money necessary to evaluate the best usage of the property. The purpose of this committee is principally to study this particular case, but after working on it, the Committee felt this may be a key parcel to a whole area which needs professional study and they ask that nothing be done toward rezoning in the meantime.

The Reverend M. H. Sippel of 2914 Onrado, spoke individually and not as chairman of the Park & Recreation Commission, urging the establishment of parks in connection with this property. He favored concurrence in the recommendation of the Industrial Development Committee of the Chamber of Commerce.

Mr. Richard Dodge, 304 Via el Chico, Hollywood Riviera, spoke as a private citizen who does not work in Torrance, although he lives and spends his money here. He has made a study on his own of this case. As a result he reached a conclusion as to what this rezoning would mean to the average taxpayer in Torrance. Outlining his study and figures in detail, the net result, he said would be a tax loss of $420,000. He too submitted a petition subscribed by somewhere around 600 taxpayers who request denial. He read the body of the petition and said the circulators were Robert Katherman and Kenneth Watts.

Mayor Isen commended Mr. Dodge as a public-minded citizen, as evidenced by the amount of detailed work he has accomplished on this project.

Mr. Dodge also outlined school costs. A conservative estimate of the increase in tax rate would be $1.00 per $100 of assessed valuation. He urged refusal of the request for rezoning.

Councilman Beasley pointed out to Mr. Dodge what he considers to be fallacies in his figures. He said the only way to protect the current tax pocketbook of the School Board would be go to back to 1946; our City is developing and there is no way to go backward.

Mr. Robert White who works at West Virginia Pulp and Paper in Torrance and lives in Palos Verdes Estates, said just because other areas were not protested on the subject of rezoning is no reason not to express a protest now. There should be a fair zoning between areas as to industry and residential. He mentioned the attractive landscaping of modern industry which should remove any objection to industrial plants near homes. In conclusion he states his company is not in favor of rezoning.

Mr. Lewis Leroy, representative of Santa Fe Railway, who lives in Altadena, said his interest lies in sections that can be served by his railroad and there are not many such sections remaining. He favored concurrence in the recommendation of the Planning Commission for maintenance of this land adjoining the railroad for manufacturing.

Mr. Robert Katherman, 131 Via Sego, one of the circulators of petition opposing, spoke along the lines of the petition.

Mr. Bill McMullen of 22633 Cerise, believed there should be a survey of how much R-1 zoned property is left in Torrance for development. He felt there should be developed such land before any rezoning is done from M-2. It was established there are 265 acres of R-1 left undeveloped, but it is scattered in one and two-lot pieces.

Mrs. Cecil F. Davey, 2436 West 233rd Street, spoke in favor of not rezoning because of the school situation and the location of the property.

Mr. George Kurtz of 2304 Evalyn Avenue feels that the City Planning with respect to retention of industrial property has not been coincidental with the wishes of the originator of the City. He believes there is grave danger of tilting the balance between industrial and residential toward residential. One of the principal attractions of living in Torrance has been the favorable tax rate created by proper balance. Mr. Kurtz has made every effort to get the views of his neighbors and has alerted many who were not previously award of this case.

Mayor Isen asked for any rebuttal from the proponents.

Don Wilson made a rebuttal statement, explaining why his office is in Gardena, not Torrance, as being failure of an annexation. He outlined his contributions to various funds for the good of Torrance and said he pays in excess of $50,000 per year taxes in Torrance. He did not think it proper for Reverend Sippel to speak as a Park Commissioner. He said in this project, no homes would back up to a railroad track. People who have written from other cities, he feels have probably been misinformed. He feels it wrong for the School Board to try to influence cases of this kind. Our taxes have not gone up - the tax rate in the City - and he explained his position on this.

Mr. R. E. Thompson of Union Oil Company, 461 Boylton St., Los Angeles, who lives in North Hollywood, explained the misunderstanding about the position of his company. After study of all the facts, management of his company wants to go on record as considering such a change not good zoning.

Councilman Drale moved to close the hearing. Motion, seconded by Councilman Benstead, carried, there being no objection.

Councilman Vico moved to take this case under advisement and study it further for consideration sometime next month rather than turn it down. Mayor Isen asked for a certain time and Councilman Vico added to his motion that it be put on the agenda for July 25th at 8:00 P.M. Councilman Benstead seconded the addition to the motion.

Councilman Drale moved a substitute that the change of zone to R-1 be granted. Motion, seconded by Councilman Beasley.

Councilman Bradford criticized the Chamber of Commerce and Industrial Tax Group as forgetting the small business man and not doing what they should to prevent such things as the 50¢ tax override. He expressed the opinion that the cost of some of the schools could have been built at half the cost, with no lessening of educational facilities. The Council sets the City tax only and it has now been cut by 5¢. The Council has nothing to do

with the School District. In fact, every councilman has been invited by the Superintendent of Schools to keep his nose out of the public schools. It is impossible to analyze a complete project such as this petition for change of zone; there are too many facets to consider. At least though, he thought some interest has been shown by the Chamber of Commerce Committee and he was happy to see that.

There was discussion as to whether or not failure of the substitute motion would wipe out the original motion.

City Attorney Remelmeyer said this is primarily based on the Council's practice in the past. If the substitute motion would be defeated the matter would go off calendar for six months. The substitute motion would take priority over the original and prior motion which would then have no reason for existence.

Councilman Drale contended that if the substitute motion is defeated then the original motion should be considered, but Mayor Isen disagreed.

City Attorney Remelmeyer recalled the earlier conversation this evening in which he stated the only definitive action takes place when the ordinance for change of zone is adopted. Based on past practice, the Council has considered that if upon conclusion of the hearing you defeat the change of zone, it would not come back for six months. There are no governing rule. except those made by the Council; is merely a procedural matter.

Councilman Bradford said he had not finished with what he intended to say and continued: that big business has no idea of the problems a small business man faces under varying business and economic conditions.

Mayor Isen said he would require another thirty days to analyze this problem and Councilman Drale rescinded his motion.

This left Councilman Vico's motion in order: That the matter be submitted for the Council to consider again on July 25th which will be an eight o'clock meeting. This motion had previously been seconded and roll call vote carried, as follows:

AYES: COUNCILMEN: Beasley, Benstead, Bradford, Drale, Vico, Isen.
NOES: COUNCILMEN: Blount.

Councilman Blount moved to adjourn. Roll call failed, as follows:

AYES: COUNCILMEN: Blount
NOES: COUNCILMEN: Beasley, Benstead, Bradford, Drale, Vico, Isen.

Councilman Beasley moved to adjourn to Friday afternoon at 5:30 P.M. Councilman Benstead seconded themotion which failed by the following roll call vote:

AYES: COUNCILMEN: Beasley, Benstead, Blount.
NOES: COUNCILMEN: Bradford, Drale, Vico, Isen.

A short recess was declared.

WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS:

11. Wood-Callahan Oil Company by H. M. Fish, request for exception to refusal of their request for redrill permit on Oil Well Waddell No. 2, located on Lots 22 and 23, Tract 3218.

There was no supporting correspondence on this item and City Attorney Remelmeyer said it could be put back on the agenda with the minutes of the Planning Commission. Mayor Isen said if there was no objection there will be a public hearing on this matter at the July 11th meeting, 8:00 P.M., and asked that supporting material be supplies. There was no objection.

12. Southwest Park Civic Association, by James W. Long, re Entradero Sump.

13. Mrs. Kenneth Gordon, 20021 Wayne, re Entradero Sump.

Mayor Isen stated these are related matters. Attorney Zamloch representing Consolidated Construction Company was present and while the purchase agreement in which that company is involved is not a part of the two items, City Attorney Remelmeyer said they are related obliquely.

Councilman Bradford said this dirt moving project started about six weeks ago and he felt something should have been done by now. City Attorney Remelmeyer explained there is an agreement of which Mr. Zamloch as attorney for the company has a copy, but it has not been officially before theCouncil. There is an option deadline of July 3rd and the matter cannot wait.

Councilman Blount moved to adjourn and the motion was seconded by Councilman Beasley, but failed by the following roll call vote:

AYES: COUNCILMEN: Beasley, Blount.
NOES: COUNCILMEN: Benstead, Bradford, Drale, Vico, Isen.

Attorney Zamloch addressed the council, explaining the corporate identity of the Consolidated Construction Company as well as the problem of the July 3rd deadline. He did not feel a meeting on Friday would give sufficient time. In order to complete the transaction, he said there must be an executed contract to provide free and clear title to the sump site which is located at about Spencer and Amie.

Councilman Drale was against going any further until the deed for the seven acres is in the hands of the City. Mr. Zamloch said' he is asking only for approval of the contract; there will be no dirt moved until the deed, free and clear, is in escrow at the Torrance branch of the Bank of America.

City Attorney Remelmeyer asked permission to reproduce the document and have it on the agenda for a meeting later this week, but in time to meet the July 3rd date on which the option expires.

Councilman Bradford considered the project a good one because it would make it possible to provide the various recreational and playground facilities badly needed at a very low cost. He criticized the legal department for not getting this matter to the Council sooner and intimated some coercion may have been involved. Councilman Vico echoed his views. Mayor Isen thought the time spent on the legal ramifications of the Mayor pro tem problem could better have been spent on this matter.

City Attorney Remelmeyer explained the project from the inception, stating it had to first have a properly description engineered. As of now, he thought the problem could be solved if the Council will consent to examine the contract.

Councilman Vico was assured it will be done according to City specificatins and asked that this be made a part of the record.

City Attorney Remelmeyer and Attorney Zamloch assured the Council that the agreement which they want studied with the possibility of approval, states there will be no excavation permit until the deed is placed in escrow and the escrow holder has a title report on the property.

The Councilmen said they could not make the meeting Thursday at 5:30 and it was finally decided to hold an adjourned session of this meeting on Thursday at noon in the Council Chamber.

Councilman Blount said he did not like the complexion of the deal at all and Attorney Zamloch outlined it in detail, starting from the rough draft stage of the agreement. Many things have impeded its progress: incorrect sump description, Mr. Remlmeyer's illness, the crowded agenda of the Council, etc. He summed it up by saying if the City is desirous of making this agreement, as his client is, it is necessary to have a contract executed by both parties before July 3rd, the date when the escrow must be closed.

Further close questioning by Councilman Blount revealed some terms of the deal on moving and selling the dirt which had not previously been disclosed. Consolidated evidently has no direct contract with the State for the dirt which is to be used for the freeway.

Mayor Isen said he feels there is an attempt to filibuster this transaction out of existence and asked that the Councilmen consider carefully the effect of such action. Councilman Blount asked if the City could not do all these things as their own agent if there is such a demand for dirt. Councilman Beasley also felt the City is capable of selling its own dirt.

At the request of Mayor Isen, City Attorney summarized the principal provisions of the agreement before the Council. One impediment mentioned was an oil well which is now pumping and for which the owner wants at least $12,500. It may be necessary to resort to eminent domain proceedings, Mr. Remelmeyer said, and there followed considerable discussion about the cost thereof.

Mayor Isen said if there was no objection, this meeting would adjourn at the close of the evening, to Thursday at noon, as there are also some fiscal matters which must be determined before July 1st. All councilmen said they could attend at that time.

A short recess was declared at 11:30 and the Council reconvened at 11:40.

There was some further conversation on the dirt moving project but the understanding is that copies of the agreement will be made available to the Councilmen for study before Thursday noon and it will be considered further at that time. There are certain changes to be made in the agreement before that time.

Mayor Isen asked if there are any other matters which must be considered at this meeting.

Mrs. Hannah Saville said petitions have been submitted to both the Council and the Park & Recreation Commission and her only hope is that the City does get a park out of the deal. She asked how long it will take and Mayor Isen said there could be no answer at this time.

On the same item, Mr. John Fievelkorn, 5417 Arvada, said there had been compiled a summary of what such a recreation facility should have and Mayor Isen asked that he take this up with the Park & Recreation Commission. His principal point was that a nuisance will be created by the trucks working in this area. He asked if the Council would direct the Park and Recreation Commision to take immediate action to cover the dirt with something to keep the dust from blowing while the operation is in process.

Mayor Isen assured Mr. Fievelkorn that the Commission would be properly instructed and that copies of the Council minutes are delivered to them and they are aware of Council action.

Mrs. Kenneth Gordon, 20021 Wayne Avenue, said she had appeared before the Park & Recreation Commission and they knew nothing of this park project. She asked if the park would be made available eventually and if it would be necessary for her to attend the Thursday noon meeting. Mayor Isen said it would not be, but if Consolidated deal falls through, the City will be back where it started, with no park for this neighborhood.

29. Director of Finance Scharfman asked if the Council would consider Item No. 29, the South Bay Humane Society animal shelter contract.

Councilman Drale asked if were true that the shelter is not open for service after 5 P.M. and was told there is emergency service only after that hour.

At the request of Mayor Isen, Deputy City Clerk Whitacre assigned a number and read title to:

RESOLUTION NO. 61-114

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TORRANCE AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF THAT CERTAIN AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF TORRANCE AND BERNICE BLONK, DOING BUSINESS AS THE SOUTH BAY HUMANE SOCIETY.

Councilman Benstead moved to dispense with further reading of Resolution No. 61-114. Motion, seconded by Councilman Vico, carried by unanimous roll call vote.

Councilman Benstead moved to adopt Resolution No. 61-114. Motion, seconded by Councilman Vico, carried by the following roll call vote:

AYES: COUNCILMEN: Benstead, Bradford, Vico, Isen.
NOES: COUNCILMEN: Beasley, Blount, Drale.

16. Superintendent of Building Inspection Schlens asked for consideration of the Hi-Shear request to add storage lean-to to their existing heat-treat building, recommended for approval by the Airport Commission, with comments of Mr. Schlens.

Councilman Drale moved to concur in the recommendation set forth, taking into consideration the comments of Mr. Schlens. Motion, seconded by Councilman Beasley, carried by the following roll call vote:

AYES: COUNCILMEN: Beasley, Bradford, Drale, Vico, Isen.
NOES: COUNCILMEN: Benstead, Blount.

At 12:00 P.M., midnight, Councilman Drale moved to adjourn to Thursday, June 29 at 12 noon, in the Council Chamber. Motion, seconded by Mayor Isen, carried by unanimous roll call vote.

Edith Shaffer
Minute Secretary

Council Minutes
June 27, 1961

A. H. Bartlett
City Clerk of the City of Torrance
California

APPROVED:

Albert Isen
Mayor of the City of Torrance