



RatingsDirect®

Summary:

Torrance, California; Appropriations

Primary Credit Analyst:

Lisa R Schroeer, Charlottesville (1) 434-220-0892; lisa.schroeer@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Contact:

Chris Morgan, San Francisco (1) 415-371-5032; chris.morgan@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Related Criteria And Research

years to approximately 147,000.

Assessed value (AV) has grown by a modest average over the past several fiscal years. Unlike many California cities, Torrance experienced a mild AV decrease in fiscal 2011. AV is \$24 billion in fiscal 2014.

Very strong budgetary flexibility

Torrance has consistently maintained, what we consider, very strong budgetary flexibility. Audited fiscal 2013 indicates a \$35.663 million available reserve, or about 22% of expenditures and, what we consider, recurrent transfers out. The fiscal 2014 budget is currently balanced; based on previous years of performance and management expectations, we do not expect a major draw on reserves such that they would decrease below 15%. Torrance has some receivables based on a loan with the former redevelopment agency; we, however, understand those receivables are offset in the nonspendable portion of general fund reserves. Therefore, we do not view available reserves as having any remaining questionable receivables.

Strong budgetary performance

We view budgetary performance as strong with relatively balanced general fund and total governmental funds operations in fiscal 2013. We expect similar performance in the medium term. General fund revenue is split relatively evenly between three major revenue sources: sales, property, and utility users taxes.

Strong management conditions

We consider Torrance's management conditions strong with "good" financial management practices under its Financial Management Assessment methodology, indicating financial practices exist in most areas but that governance officials might not formalize or regularly monitor all of them. Highlights include management's comprehensive revenue and expenditure assumptions that feed into five-year financial projections and capital-outlay planning. Torrance lacks a formal debt management policy.

Very strong liquidity

Torrance has, what we consider, a very strong liquidity position with total government cash of more than 90% of total governmental funds expenditures and well in excess of 120% of total governmental funds debt service. We also view Torrance as having strong access to the external market due to its history of issuing COPs, tax and revenue anticipation notes, and tax allocation debt over the past years.

Strong debt and contingent liability position

In our opinion, Torrance's debt and contingent liability position is strong with low debt service carrying charges of less than 8% and net direct debt of about 46% of total governmental funds revenue. Torrance benefits from having, what we consider, low overall market value net debt that is below 3%. We, however, view the city's annual pension contributions a large 18.3%. Torrance has built expected increased pension contributions into its budget for its forecast years.

Torrance participates in the California Public Employees' Retirement System; it has consistently made 100% of the annual required contribution. Torrance also has an other postemployment benefits (OPEB) health plan. Pay-as-you-go OPEB costs are, what we view as, a modest 1.2% of governmental fund expenditures. We understand that Torrance has not established a separate OPEB trust but that it has set aside \$3 million to support these costs.

Strong Infrastructure Framework

We consider the Institutional Framework score for California cities required to submit a federal single audit strong.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects Standard & Poor's view that the strength of Torrance's built-out and established property tax base provides a relatively stable core of tax revenue. We believe management's close monitoring of revenue trends and use of multiyear forecasting position Torrance to respond to revenue changes so that it will likely maintain, what we consider, its structurally balanced operations and strong reserves. We do not expect to raise the rating within the two-year outlook period due to high annual pension contributions. We, however, could raise the rating if those costs were to decrease over time.

Related Criteria And Research

Related Criteria

- USPF Criteria: Local Government GO Ratings Methodology And Assumptions, Sept. 12, 2013
- USPF Criteria: Appropriation-Backed Obligations, June 13, 2007

Related Research

- S&P Public Finance Local GO Criteria: How We Adjust Data For Analytic Consistency, Sept. 12, 2013
- Institutional Framework Overview: California Local Governments

Ratings Detail (As Of March 20, 2014)

Torrance certs of part (Land Aquisition Project) ser 2009

<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA/Stable	Affirmed
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	NR(SPUR)	Withdrawn

Torrance 1998 rfdg certs of part dtd 12/01/1998 due 12/01/1999-2018 2023 2028

<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
--------------------------	-----------------	----------

Many issues are enhanced by bond insurance.

Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.globalcreditportal.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2014 Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a part of McGraw Hill Financial. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.