

# CITY OF TORRANCE

## INTEROFFICE COMMUNICATION

DATE: 8/1/11

**TO:** Citizen Development and Enrichment Committee

**FROM:** Beth Overstreet, Engineering Manager

**SUBJECT:** 2009 Bridge Inspection Report for Torrance Bridge

Attached is the 2009 Bridge Inspection Report for the Torrance Bridge. As stated in the addendum to the bridge inspection report, "The bridge is in overall satisfactory condition". Repairing the spalls on the soffit and the columns would remove the structurally deficient status, which is part of the proposed work. Per the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials Subcommittee on Public Affairs, "A 'deficient' bridge is one with some maintenance concerns that do not post a safety risk. A 'deficient' bridge typically requires maintenance and repair and eventual rehabilitation or replacement to address deficiencies."

The results of all local bridge inspections state-wide are publicly posted on the Caltrans Website [http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/structur/strmaint/local/sr\\_local.pdf](http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/structur/strmaint/local/sr_local.pdf)

Please note that the Sufficiency Rating shown for this particular structure is "-2". According to the Cal Trans Structure Maintenance and Investigations, if a structure does not carry highway traffic, it will not have a sufficiency rating. The "-2" is shown for bridges for this purpose of flagging that this structure does not carry traffic, as the actual value can never be below zero.

Attachment: Bridge Inspection Report

# Bridge Inspection Report

Bridge Key: 53C1564      Agency ID: 53C1564      SD/FO Status: N      SR: -2

**IDENTIFICATION**

State 1: 06 California      Struc Num 8: 53C1564  
 Facility Carried 7: SPTCO RR      Location 9: 0.1 MI W WESTERN AVE  
 Rte. (On/Under) 5A: One Route Under      Rte. Signing Prefix 5B: 5 City Street  
 Level of Service 5C: 0 None of the below      Rte. Number 5D: 0L071  
 Directional Suffix 5E: 0 N/A (NBI)      % Responsibility: 0  
 SHD District 2: District 7      County Code 3: (53) Los Angeles  
 Place Code 4: 80000      Kilometer Post 11: 00.0 km

Feature Intersected 8: TORRANCE BLVD & SPTCO  
 Latitude 16: 33d 50' 18"      Longitude 17: 118d 18' 35"  
 Border Bridge Code 98: Not Applicable (P)  
 Border Bridge Number 99:

**INSPECTION**

Frequency 91: 24 months      Inspection Date 90: 09/01/2009      Next Inspection: 09/01/2011  
 FC Frequency 92A: NA      FC Inspection Date 93A: NA      Next FC Inspection: NA  
 UW Frequency 92B: NA      UW Inspection Date 93B: NA      Next UW Inspection: NA  
 SI Frequency 92C: NA      SI Date 93C: NA      Next SI: NA  
 Element Frequency: 24 months      Element Inspection Date: 09/01/2009      Next Elem. Insp. Due: 09/01/2011

**CLASSIFICATION**

Defense Highway 100: 0 Not a STRAHNET hwy      Parallel Structure 101: No || bridge exists  
 Direction of Traffic 102: 2 2-way traffic      Temporary Structure 103: Not Applicable (P)  
 Highway System 104: 0 Not on NHS      NBIS Length 112: Long Enough  
 Toll Facility 20: 3 On free road      Functional Class 26: 14 Urban Other Princ  
 Owner 22: 27 Railroad  
 Custodian 21: 27 Railroad

**STRUCTURE TYPE AND MATERIALS**

Number of Approach Spans 48: 0      Number of Spans Main Unit 45: 6  
 Main Span Material/Design 43A/B:  
 1 Concrete      04 Tee Beam

Deck Type 107: 1 Concrete-Cast-in-Place  
 Wearing Surface 108A: N N/A (no deck (NBI))  
 Membrane 108B: N N/A (no deck (NBI))  
 Deck Protection 108C: N N/A (no deck (NBI))

**CONDITION**

Deck 58: 4 Poor      Super 59: 6 Satisfactory      Sub 60: 6 Satisfactory  
 Culvert 62: N N/A (NBI)      Channel/Channel Protection 61: N N/A (NBI)

**LOAD RATING AND POSTING**

Inventory Rating Method 65: 1 LF Load Factor      Operating Rating Method 63: 1 LF Load Factor  
 Inventory Rating 66: MS.0      Operating Rating 64: MS0.0  
 Design Load 31: 8 Railroad      Posting 70: Not Applicable (P)  
 Posting status 41: Not Applicable (P)

**AGE AND SERVICE**

Year Built 27: 1913      Year Reconstructed 106: 0  
 Type of Service on 42A: 2 Railroad  
 Type of Service under 42B: 4 Highway-railroad  
 Lanes on 28A: 0      Lanes Under 28B: 4      Detour Length 19: 05 km  
 ADT 29: 30,000      Truck ADT 109: 4 %      Year of ADT 30: 2005

**APPRAISAL**

Bridge Rail 36A: N N/A or not required      Approach Rail 36C: N N/A or not required  
 Transition 36B: N N/A or not required      Approach Rail Ends 36D: N N/A or not required  
 Str. Evaluation 67: N      Deck Geometry 68: N Not applicable (NBI)  
 Underclearance, Vertical and Horizontal 69: 3 Intolerable - Correct  
 Waterway Adequacy 71: N Not applicable      Approach Alignment 72: N  
 Scour Critical 113: N Not Over Waterway

**GEOMETRIC DATA**

Length Max Span 48: 10.40 m      Structure Length 49: 46.90 m  
 Curb/Sidewalk Width L 50A: 0.00 m      Curb/Sidewalk Width R 50B: 0.00 m  
 Width Curb to Curb 51: 8.00 m      Width Out to Out 52: 0.00 m  
 Approach Roadway Width 32: 0.00 m      Median 33: 0 No median (w/ shoulders)  
 Deck Area: 375.00 m<sup>2</sup>  
 Skew 34: 0.00 °      Structure Flared 35: 0 No flare  
 Minimum Vertical Clearance Over Bridge 53: 99.99 m  
 Minimum Vertical Underclearance Reference 54A: H Hwy beneath struct  
 Minimum Vertical Underclearance 54B: 04.20 m  
 Minimum Lateral Underclearance Reference R 55A: H Hwy beneath struct  
 Minimum Lateral Underclearance R 55: 00.30 m  
 Minimum Lateral Underclearance L 56: 00.60 m

**PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS**

Bridge Cost 84: Unknown      Type of Work 75: Unknown (P)  
 Roadway Cost 85: Unknown      Length of Improvement 78:  
 Total Cost 96: Unknown      Future ADT 114: 38,000  
 Year of Cost Estimate 97: Unknown      Year of Future ADT 115: 2025

**NAVIGATION DATA**

Navigation Control 38: N NA-no waterway  
 Vertical Clearance 39: 0.00 m      Horizontal Clearance 40: 0.00 m  
 Pier Protection 111: Not Applicable (P)      Lift Bridge Vertical Clearance 118:

**ELEMENT CONDITION STATE DATA**

Str Unit	Elm/Env	Description	Units	Total Qty	% in 1	Qty. St. 1	% in 2	Qty. St. 2	% in 3	Qty. St. 3	% in 4	Qty. St. 4	% in 5	Qty. St. 5
2	12/2	Bare Concrete Deck	sq.m.	375	100 %	375	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0
2	110/2	R/Conc Open Girder	m.	185	99 %	184	1 %	1	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0
2	205/2	R/Conc Column	ea.	10	50 %	5	30 %	3	20 %	2	0 %	0	0 %	0
2	215/2	R/Conc Abutment	m.	20	100 %	20	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0
2	234/2	R/Conc Cap	m.	49	94 %	46	6 %	3	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0
2	359/2	Soffit Smart Flag	ea.	1	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	100 %	1	0 %	0

## Bridge Inspection Report

Str Unit	Elem/Env	Description	Element Notes
2	12/2	Concrete Deck - Bare	
2	110/2	Reinforced Conc Open Girder/Bea	
2	205/2	Reinforced Conc Column or Pile E	
2	215/2	Reinforced Conc Abutment	
2	234/2	Reinforced Conc Cap	
2	359/2	Soffit of Concrete Deck or Slab	

### BRIDGE NOTES

The bridge is assumed to run from south to north.  
 The bridge was photographed for the files on 09/26/05.  
 Bridge jurisdiction is 100% City of Torrance.  
 LA County Bridge #2482.

### PAST INSPECTION

Inspection Date: 09/01/2009      Type: 1 Regular NBI  
 Inspector: AGRAJEDA      Pontis User Key: AGRAJEDA - Antonio Grajeda

#### Scope:

NBI:       Other:       Element:   
 Underwater:       Fracture Critical:

### INSPECTION NOTES

*f. A. Grajeda*  
 AGRAJEDA inspection comments -  
 Structure 53C1564 -  
 DATE 09/01/2009  
 SEE ADDENDUM TO BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT.

### INSPECTOR WORK CANDIDATES



*Rodolfo Rivera*

## ADDENDUM TO BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT:

Page 1 of 2

St. Br. No.: 53C-1564  
LA County Br. No. #2482

Date of Inspection: 9/01/09

### CONDITION OF STRUCTURE:

The bridge was inspected according to the 2008 First Edition of The Manual for Bridge Evaluation and related FHWA reports. Items observed during the visual inspection that appear to affect the condition of the bridge are listed below.

The bridge is in overall satisfactory condition.

The bridge is Structurally Deficient (SD) because the Deck is in poor condition

Provide Recommendations repairing the spalls on the soffit and columns of the bridge would remove the SD status.

All previous statements were verified by field inspection. (09/01/09)

#### **Deck**

A 0.3m diameter superficial spall exists in the deck soffit of span 6.  
(For record only)

#### **Superstructure**

There is a minor spall in the lower flange of girder 3, span 2. (8/31/01)  
(For record only)

#### **Substructure**

##### **Bent 4**

There is a 150mm wide by 0.6m long area of delamination in the north face of column 2, bent 4. (09/01/09)

The north face of column 1 (bent 4) has a large (0.3m X 0.3m X 102mm) spall with exposed rebar and minor section loss. (3/19/96)

##### **Bent 6**

There is a 200 mm diameter superficial spall near the base of column 1, bent 6. (9/12/07)

There is a 0.6 m long by 0.3 m wide area of delamination near the base of column 2, bent 6. (9/12/07)

A large horizontal crack exists in the west face of column 1 and the east face of column 2 of bent 6 up to 2mm wide, and appears to be at the cold joint of the column to arch girder. (11/10/99)

**ADDENDUM TO BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT:**

Page 2 of 2

St. Br. No.: 53C-1564  
LA County Br. No. #2482

Date of Inspection: 9/12/07

**CONDITION OF STRUCTURE**

**Bent 6 continued**

A 2mm crack exists in soffit of the arch, between columns 1 & 2 of bent 6. (11/10/99) (Typical in bent 4, 5 and 6)

There are random cracks in the southwest wingwall up to 0.50mm wide. (8/31/01)

**Miscellaneous**

Vegetation growth on the bridge prevents a thorough inspection of every element. (Vine like vegetation attached to structure) (11/10/99)

**SIGNS:**

The minimum vertical clearance (measured along the right hand side of lane 2 eastbound) was found to be 13 ft. 9 in. The bridge is currently posted 12 ft. 7 in.

**Substructure**

Repair the spalls in the reinforced concrete columns.

Epoxy inject the cracks in bents 4, 5 and 6.

**Miscellaneous**

Replace the missing clearance sign.

**WORK RECOMMENDED:**

Post the correct vertical clearance over eastbound lanes.

Do the work listed under "WORK NOT DONE."

10/14/2009 9:13 AM