

I N D E X

Torrance City Council - February 18, 1992

<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
<u>OPENING CEREMONIES</u>	
1. Call to Order/Roll Call	1
2. Flag Salute/Invocation	1
3. Motion re Further Reading/Posting of Agenda	1- 2
4. Withdrawn or Deferred Items	2
5. Council Committee Meetings	2
<u>COMMUNITY MATTERS</u>	
6a. Proclamation - "Kashiwa Day"	2
6b. Resolution 92-26	2
<u>7. CONSENT CALENDAR</u>	
7a. Human Resources Commission Annual Report FY 90-91	3
<u>8. LIBRARY/PARKS AND RECREATION MATTERS</u>	
8a. L.A. County Park, Beach & Recreation Action of 1992	3- 5
8b. Bartlett Senior Citizens Center	5- 6
<u>13. ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS</u>	
13a. Hangars at Torrance Municipal Airport	6
13b. Acutely Hazardous Materials Permit Process	6- 7
13c. Annual Financial Report	7- 8
13d. Requests for Absent Voters' Ballot Information	8-11
13e. Investment Officer	11-13
<u>14. HEARINGS</u>	
14a. CUP 89-83, MOD 91-1, Fred Arkenberg	13-16
14b. Edison Pipeline Franchise Hearing	16
<u>16. SECOND READING ORDINANCES</u>	
16a. Ordinance No. 3345	17
<u>19. ORAL COMMUNICATIONS</u>	
19a. City Manager Jackson re Elise Sunshine	
19b. Councilwoman Hardison re gang seminar	
19c. Councilman Nakano re traffic on Hickory Avenue	
19d. Mayor Geissert re Theater & Cultural Arts Center	17
19e. Patrick Long re City notification procedures	18
19f. Dick Cahill re Council action on P.E.R.S	
19g. Life Scout James Brown re Eagle-project	
<u>20. EXECUTIVE SESSION</u>	
20a. Executive Session Matters	
<u>21. ADJOURNMENT</u>	
Adjournment was at 9:40 p.m. to 2/25/92, 7:00 PM	18

Valerie Whippie  
Minute Secretary

City Council  
February 18, 1992

February 18, 1992

MINUTES OF A REGULAR MEETING  
OF THE TORRANCE CITY COUNCIL

OPENING CEREMONIES

1. CALL TO ORDER

The Torrance City Council convened in a regular meeting on Tuesday, February 18, 1992, at 5:30 p.m. in the Council Chambers of Torrance City Hall.

ROLL CALL

Present: Councilmembers Applegate\*, Hardison, Mock, Nakano, Walker, Wirth and Mayor Geissert.  
\* Arrived at 5:40 p.m.

Absent: None.

Also present: City Manager Jackson, City Attorney Nelson, City Clerk Bramhall, and staff representatives.

2. FLAG SALUTE/INVOCATION

Mrs. Sally Stowe led the Flag salute.

Pastor Stefano Mitrano, Calvary Chapel, provided the invocation for the meeting.

3. MOTION RE FURTHER READING/POSTING OF AGENDA

MOTION: Councilman Wirth moved that after the City Clerk has assigned a number and read title to any resolution or ordinance on the agenda for this meeting, the further reading thereof be waived, reserving and guaranteeing to each Councilmember the right to demand the reading of any such resolution or

City Council  
February 18, 1992

ordinance in regular order. The motion was seconded by Councilwoman Hardison and roll call vote was unanimously favorable (Councilman Applegate had not yet arrived).

MOTION: Councilman Wirth moved to accept and file the report of the City Clerk on the posting of the agenda for this meeting. This motion, seconded by Councilman Mock, carried with unanimous approval following roll call vote (Councilman Applegate had not yet arrived).

4. WITHDRAWN OR DEFERRED ITEMS

None.

5. COUNCIL COMMITTEE MEETINGS

Finance and Governmental Operations Committee  
February 25, 1992, 5:30 PM - West Annex Meeting Room  
Subject: Mid Year Budget Review.

\*\*\*

Torrance Municipal Election - March 3, 1992.

\*\*\*

6. COMMUNITY MATTERS

6a. PROCLAMATION proclaiming February 20, 1992, as "Kashiwa Day" in the City of Torrance.

SO PROCLAIMED by Mayor Geissert and accepted with appreciation by Hazel Taniguchi, President of the Sister City Association.

6b. RESOLUTION 92-26

No action taken.

City Council  
February 18, 1992

7. CONSENT CALENDAR

7a. HUMAN RESOURCES COMMISSION ANNUAL REPORT, FY 90-91

RECOMMENDATION

It is the recommendation of the Parks and Recreation Director and the Human Resources Commission that your Honorable Body accept and file this report.

MOTION: Councilman Wirth moved to concur with Consent Calendar Item 7a. Councilwoman Hardison seconded the motion and roll call vote proved unanimously favorable (Councilman Applegate had not yet arrived).

8. LIBRARY/PARKS AND RECREATION MATTERS

8a. LOS ANGELES COUNTY PARK, BEACH AND RECREATION ACT OF 1992

RESOLUTION NO. 92-32

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TORRANCE, SUPPORTING THE PLACEMENT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY PARK, BEACH AND RECREATION ACT OF 1992 ON THE JUNE, 1992 BALLOT

MOTION: Councilman Nakano moved for the adoption of Resolution No. 92-32. Councilman Wirth seconded the motion which ultimately carried, as reflected below.

\*  
Councilman Applegate arrived at 5:40 p.m.  
\*

RECOMMENDATION

It is the recommendation of the Parks and Recreation Director that the City Council give direction to the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors as to whether or not they should take action to place this matter on the June, 1992 ballot. In addition, the Parks and Recreation Director recommends that your Honorable Body express support of including language within the measure that would provide funding for improvements at Madrona Marsh and Charles H. Wilson Park.

City Council  
February 18, 1992

CITY MANAGER'S NOTE:

The City Manager recommends opposition to the bond issue for the following reasons:

1. The state of the current economy is not conducive to the increasing of taxes at this time; and
2. The distribution of the proposed bond money is still not clearly delineated.

Staff report was summarized by Parks and Recreation Director Barnett who noted that Council was being requested to take action on whether this matter should be placed on the June 1992 ballot and also support the inclusion of language which would provide funding for improvements at Madrona Marsh and Charles H. Wilson Park.

The City Manager clarified his position, as set forth above, and staff responded to inquiries regarding funding resources and proposed improvements at Madrona Marsh and Charles H. Wilson Park.

Councilman Wirth indicated that he was inclined toward supporting the recommendation of the Parks and Recreation Director and offered the following:

MOTION: Councilman Wirth moved to concur with the above stated recommendation of the Parks and Recreation Director. Councilman Mock seconded the motion. (This motion was ultimately withdrawn; see below.)

Indicating that he would not be supporting the motion, Councilman Walker stated that he views the subject matter as an attempt to circumvent Proposition 13. Discussion ensued for some time in this regard, with the Mayor noting that Council is merely considering whether to include the matter on the June, 1992, ballot, thereby allowing the voters to make the ultimate decision. The City Manager reiterated his viewpoints in response to continuing comments.

City Council  
February 18, 1992

Members of the audience were invited to speak and Ms. Jane Nishimura, 2517 W. 233rd St., representing Friends of the Madrona Marsh, read a letter [of record] from the President of that organization, supporting the inclusion of the subject matter on the June ballot. This speaker noted that the word "thousand" was omitted on line six of the third boxed paragraph, page 3 ["One Million Five Hundred Thousand Dollars"].

Ms. Helen Doyle, 18626 Yukon Avenue, requested and received clarification regarding qualifying projects under this measure.

Noting that the people will decide whether to pass this measure, Councilman Applegate reminded those present that the issue before the Council is to recommend that the subject matter be placed on the ballot.

Consideration at hand was further clarified by the City Attorney who offered a brief distinction between special benefit assessments and special taxes (Proposition 13).

Roll call vote was now taken on the motion to adopt Resolution No. 92-32 and roll call vote reflected the following:

Ayes: Councilmembers Applegate, Hardison, Mock, Nakano, Wirth and Mayor Geissert.

Noes: Councilman Walker.

Councilman Wirth, with the concurrence of Councilman Mock, at this time withdrew his motion to support the recommendation of staff.

8b. BARTLETT SENIOR CITIZENS CENTER

RECOMMENDATION

The Parks and Recreation Director recommends that your Honorable Body accept an award from the Los Angeles Area Agency on Aging designating the Bartlett Senior Citizens Center as a community focal point for senior citizens services in Torrance.

City Council  
February 18, 1992

Background information was provided by Mr. Russ Nolte, 5209 Paseo de Pablo, who noted that members of the Senior Citizens Council were present in the audience. Mr. Nolte introduced Ms. Lynn Bayer, Director of Los Angeles County Area Agency on Aging, who presented the City with an award designating the Bartlett Senior Citizens Center as a community focal point for senior citizens services in Torrance. This award was gratefully accepted by the Mayor on behalf of the Council.

13. ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

13a. HANGARS AT TORRANCE MUNICIPAL AIRPORT

RECOMMENDATION

The City Manager recommends that the City Council accept the low bid of \$504,700 and award a contract to L.A. Construction for renovation of 106 Hangars at the Torrance Airport and extend an existing purchase order to BOA Architecture for additional architectural services in the amount of \$10,000.

MOTION: Councilman Applegate moved to concur with the above stated recommendation of the City Manager. Councilman Mock seconded the motion and roll call vote proved unanimously favorable.

13b. UPDATE ON ACUTELY HAZARDOUS MATERIALS [AHM] PERMIT PROCESS

RECOMMENDATION

The City Manager recommends that the City Council concur with the following:

1. Receive an oral presentation of the project status and an overview of the Expert Workshop/Scoping Session to be held March 5, 1992.
2. Review and concur in the direction of the development of the acutely hazardous materials review and permit process criteria/methodology.

City Council  
February 18, 1992

3. Concur in submitting the process for review to the Planning Commission, including an environmental assessment, due to the land use issues that are inherent with the process.

Staff presentation was provided by Fire Chief Adams who responded to inquiries regarding review of the process by the Planning Commission and the prospect of review by the Environmental Quality Commission as well. The City Manager assisted in providing clarification, after which Councilman Applegate stressed that the process for review should be handled in as expedient a manner as possible, noting that the more bodies involved in review, the slower the process.

Battelle Project Manager Fred Leverenz provided an updated overview of the project as well as information regarding the Expert Workshop/Scoping Session to be held March 5, 1992.

Assisting with clarification, various questions pertaining to the proposed process and the upcoming scoping session were addressed in depth by Fire Chief Adams.

There was general agreement amongst Councilmembers to concur with Recommendations 1 and 2. Regarding Recommendation 3 it was the desire of the Body that the process be submitted for review by the Council prior to submittal to the Planning Commission or any other body and the following motion was offered to this effect:

MOTION: Councilwoman Hardison moved to concur with Recommendations 1 and 2 above, modifying Recommendation 3 to direct that the process be returned for Council review prior to proceeding to any other body. Councilman Mock seconded the motion and roll call vote proved unanimously favorable.

13c. ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 1991

RECOMMENDATION

The Finance Director recommends that your Honorable Body accept the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the year ended June 30, 1991.

City Council  
February 18, 1992

Clarification was provided by Finance Director Giordano-Specht who noted that copies of the subject report are available in the City Clerk's Office as well as the Library. Ms. Giordano-Specht briefly touched upon issues regarding the utilization of PERS contributions by the City, as discussed at the February 11, 1992 Council meeting and noted that options dealing with this issue will be presented to Committee next week for consideration and ultimate presentation to the Council.

MOTION: Councilman Applegate moved to concur with the above stated recommendation of the Finance Director. Councilman Mock seconded the motion and roll call vote proved unanimously favorable.

13d. REQUESTS FOR ABSENT VOTERS' BALLOT INFORMATION

After consideration of information contained in staff report, Council may wish to make a finding that the general spirit and intent of the elections Codes procedures on the subject would permit those persons listed in Section 604 ["Copies to Legislature, Congress, candidates and committees"] to have access to the absentee voter ballot information. Council should note that the concern for publishing a list of those persons that will be absent on election day, thus leading to crimes against their property, would be alleviated by confining this information to only those enumerated in Section 604.

This issue is not totally clear. The City Attorney would suggest that Council develop an ordinance covering this subject, and if so instructed by your Honorable Body, the City Attorney will return at a later date with such an ordinance. There is not enough time to do so for this election, but it is felt that a position allowing those persons listed in Elections Code Section 604 access to this information is a reasonable interpretation of the Elections Code.

The City Attorney summarized his written statement, in response to Councilman Mock's oral communication [19e] of February 11, 1992. During his presentation, the City Attorney

City Council  
February 18, 1992

suggested that should Council elect to make absentee ballot applicant information available to all candidates [permitted under Section 604], that it be done so under confidentiality.

The processing of applications for absentee ballots and the history of same were defined by the City Clerk, whose position it has been to keep the list of those requesting ballots secret, in the interest of protecting voters' privacy.

Discussion focused on the issue of confidentiality and how best to assure same. Of concern to several Councilmembers was the fact that one Council candidate had personally sent out requests for absentee ballots, having them returned to his office [for ultimate processing by the City Clerk's Office], thereby giving him an unfair advantage over other candidates as well as providing the candidate with information that could lead to possible wrongdoing.

There was general consensus amongst Councilmembers that all candidates should receive absentee ballot information [under confidentiality], as permitted by Section 604.

The prospect that an ordinance could be drafted some time in the future for Council consideration, directing that all absentee ballot applications be processed directly through the City Clerk and addressing other matters related to this issue, was received favorably by the Body in general.

The City Attorney suggested that the Council may wish to take two actions; one dealing with the fact that the Elections Code supports the finding that absentee ballot information should be kept confidential but should be released to candidates in this election and the other instructing the City Attorney to prepare an ordinance that covers this particular point and in addition to study the question of whether an ordinance can be adopted requiring that requests for absentee ballots be returned directly to the City Clerk.

Ms. Helen Doyle, 18626 Yukon Avenue, requested and received clarification pertaining to pending action, and expressed her concern that revealing absentee ballot information might make this class of voters subject to victims of crime.

City Council  
February 18, 1992

Council candidate Mike Botello, 4718 Newton Street, voiced his support for making absentee ballot information available to all candidates stating that voting by absentee ballot does not necessarily mean a home will be unoccupied.

Councilman Walker stated that it would be his desire to ultimately direct that all requests for absentee ballots be processed directly through the City Clerk and that all voters be considered in one category rather than having the distinction of those who vote at the polls and those who vote by absentee ballot.

He was echoed by Councilman Applegate who added, however, that with respect to this election he would be against changing the rules in the middle of a campaign. He subsequently indicated his intention to abstain from any action pertaining to this election which would deviate from past policy.

Councilman Mock stated that he would favor making absentee ballot information available to all candidates in this election in the interest of precluding any chance of fraud. He subsequently offered the following:

MOTION: Councilman Mock moved to concur with the above stated recommendation of the City Attorney that for this election, under a statement of confidentiality, all candidates be allowed access to absentee ballot voter names and addresses. Councilman Wirth seconded the motion, which ultimately carried; see below.

Council candidate Maureen O'Donnell, 1522 Beech Avenue, voiced support for making absentee ballot voter information available to all candidates, stating that the action of one candidate has put the other nine candidates at an unfair disadvantage.

The City Clerk responded to inquiries regarding mailing dates of absentee ballots and sample ballots for this election.

Roll call vote was now taken on the above motion, which carried with unanimous approval, with Councilman Applegate abstaining, for the reason stated above.

City Council  
February 18, 1992

MOTION: Councilman Mock moved to concur with the above stated recommendation that the City Attorney be directed to draft an ordinance addressing the issues of (1) allowing candidates access to absentee voter information and (2) requiring that requests for absentee ballots be returned directly to the City Clerk, thereby avoiding a third party. Councilwoman Hardison seconded the motion and roll call vote proved unanimously favorable.

\*

The Mayor ordered a recess at 7:35 p.m. Council reconvened at 7:55 p.m.

\*

13e. INVESTMENT OFFICER

RECOMMENDATION

It is the recommendation of the City Manager that Council give direction on the following:

1. Give direction and authorization on the hiring of consultants for development of procedures for investments of surplus City funds;
2. Give direction to staff with regard to the addition of one Investment Officer, including reporting relationships and employment status of that position.

Finance Director Giordano-Specht offered a synopsis of staff report noting that issues which need to be addressed at this time include the hiring of consultants; Investment Officer job description; reporting relationships; employment status; and time frames.

The City Manager discussed options open to the Council in its consideration of this matter and responded to inquiries as well.

Councilman Wirth called attention to a supplementary letter received from City Treasurer Rupert [dated February 15, 1992] which while supporting Recommendation 1, suggests that the hiring of an Investment Officer, as set forth in Recommendation

City Council  
February 18, 1992

2, is premature. Mr. Rupert suggests instead, that the position of a Senior Accountant be added in the Finance Department. Clarification of this position was provided by both the City Manager and the Finance Director.

The City Treasurer's position was expanded upon by Deputy City Treasurer Barnett who requested that Council take action on Recommendation 1, but defer action on Recommendation 2 until recommendations of the consultants have been reviewed.

Mrs. Marie Rupert, 4526 Newton Street, read from her prepared statement dated February 18, 1992 [of record], which strongly supported the City Treasurer and requested that Council defer action on hiring an Investment Officer until the consultants have made their recommendations.

MOTION: Councilwoman Hardison moved to concur with the City Manager's Recommendation 1. Councilman Walker seconded the motion and roll call vote proved unanimously favorable.

Councilman Applegate indicated a preference that the position in question be of "limited tenure," situated in the Finance Department and based upon the job description of record. Feeling that such an individual could perform a multitude of tasks for the City, he offered the following:

MOTION: Councilman Applegate moved to authorize the City Manager to proceed with the process, based upon an exempt position situated in the Finance Department, deferring any hiring until the City is in receipt of reports from the consultants. Councilman Walker seconded the motion, which ultimately failed to carry as shown by roll call vote below.

Mayor Geissert stated that she would favor proceeding with the process, receiving further input from staff as to what the function of this position might be, however she felt it untimely to determine into which Department the position would best fit at this time.

For the benefit of Councilwoman Hardison, the City Manager provided time frames involved in processing the position.

City Council  
February 18, 1992

Councilman Wirth voiced his preference to defer any action at all regarding the Investment Officer position, feeling the City is proceeding too fast.

Roll call was now taken on the above motion and reflected the following:

Ayes: Councilmembers Applegate and Walker.

Noes: Councilmembers Hardison, Mock, Nakano, Wirth and Mayor Geissert.

MOTION: Councilwoman Hardison moved to go forward with the job description, salary survey and review by the Civil Service Commission, to be returned to Council for consideration. Councilman Nakano seconded the motion with carried by the following roll call vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Applegate, Hardison, Mock, Nakano, Walker and Mayor Geissert.

Noes: Councilman Wirth.

14. HEARINGS

\*

Councilman Applegate announced his intention to abstain from agenda Item 14a for reasons of record and exited chambers.

\*

14a. CUP 89-83, MOD 91-1 (EA 89-20) FRED ARKENBERG

Mayor Geissert noted this was the time and place for City Council consideration of an appeal by the applicant of a Planning Commission decision denying modification to a Conditional Use Permit to allow the construction and operation of an emergency medical facility in a previously approved retail center located in the C-3 zone at the northeast corner of Crenshaw and Sepulveda Boulevards.

Proof of publication was filed without comment.

City Council  
February 18, 1992

Staff presentation was provided by Sr. Principal Planner Gibson who noted that the Planning Commission which considered a similar project, recommends denial of the appeal and denial of the project while the Planning Department recommends granting the appeal and approving the project. It was noted that subsequent to the appeal of Planning Commission action, the applicant redesigned the building to reduce the height to one story and the building area to 8,000 square feet.

A detailed distinction between the current design and the one reviewed by the Planning Commission on November 20, 1991, was provided by Mr. Gibson in response to various inquiries. For the benefit of Councilman Nakano it was clarified that the proposed use is not an urgent care facility and emergency vehicles would not be used at this operation.

The Mayor expressed concern about the location of the trash area in terms of its visibility from the street and clarification in this regard was provided by Mr. Gibson.

Applicant Fred Arkenberg, 2601 Airport Drive, Suite 240, stated that he felt he had left many questions unanswered following Planning Commission review of this application and noted that a representative from Maple Medical was present to address operational aspects of the proposed use. Mr. Arkenberg discussed the issue of the trash area, explaining the rationale behind its location.

Medical Director for Maple Medical, Dr. Lewis, 505 Maple Avenue [no speaker card submitted], discussed details about the proposed medical facility noting that no emergency vehicles would be utilized at this location. In response to Councilman Wirth, Dr. Lewis affirmed that the proposed use would be more accurately defined as a "medical care facility," opposed to an "emergency medical facility," as reflected in staff report.

In response to Councilwoman Hardison, Dr. Lewis agreed to added conditions limiting the hours of operation to 8:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. seven days each week and prohibiting emergency vehicles from transporting individuals to the facility. In response to Councilman Nakano, Sr. Principal Planner Gibson affirmed that the word "emergency" could be deleted from Resolution No. 92-25.

City Council  
February 18, 1992

Mrs. Karen Megaw, 2424 Santa Cruz Court, noted that the applicant was far better prepared with answers and clarifications than he had been at the Planning Commission meeting. She voiced her opposition to the project citing an abundance of medical care facilities in the South Bay area and increased traffic congestion. In response to her concerns about future use of the building, Sr. Principal Planner Gibson described the Conditional Use Permit process.

Mrs. Megaw ended by noting that due to a fluke in the mailing of notices, her family was denied the privilege of participating in initial consideration of this matter. She then discussed the major impact the development has had upon her residence.

It was moved by Councilman Walker that the hearing be closed. Councilwoman Hardison seconded the motion which proved unanimously favorable following roll call vote (Councilman Applegate abstained).

Councilmembers generally felt that the redesigned project was a vast improvement over that which was considered by the Planning Commission and Councilman Walker offered the following:

MOTION: Councilman Walker moved to concur with the recommendation of the Planning Department for approval of the project, deleting the word "emergency" throughout Resolution No. 92-25, and adding the following conditions:

Add the following conditions:

- o That the hours of operation shall be limited to 8:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. seven days each week; and
- o That ambulances or other emergency vehicles shall not be utilized to transport patients to the facility.

Councilman Wirth seconded the motion. Roll call vote proved unanimously favorable, with Councilman Applegate abstaining.

RESOLUTION NO. 92-25

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TORRANCE, CALIFORNIA, APPROVING A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT TO ALLOW THE ADDITION OF A MEDICAL FACILITY IN AN EXISTING RETAIL CENTER ON PROPERTY LOCATED IN THE C-3 ZONE AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SEPULVEDA AND CRENSHAW BOULEVARDS  
CUP 89-83 (MOD 91-1): FRED ARKENBERG

City Council  
February 18, 1992

MOTION: Councilman Nakano moved for the adoption of Resolution No. 92-25, as amended. Councilman Walker seconded the motion which proved unanimously favorable after roll call vote (Councilman Applegate abstained).

Mr. Kenneth Megaw, 2424 Santa Cruz Court, invited Councilmembers to visit his home and view the negative impact the Arkenberg project has had upon his residence. It was affirmed by Sr. Principal Planner Gibson that a ten foot landscaped setback was not proposed for the building abutting the Megaws' property and that due to a mailing fluke, they were unable to provide input related thereto. Mr. Megaw noted that this has resulted in a twenty foot wall abutting their property. Mrs. Megaw returned to state that the applicant was fully aware of the impact the construction would have upon their home.

Councilmembers indicated they would visit the site in an effort to preclude a similar situation.

\*

Councilman Applegate returned to Chambers and joined his colleagues.

\*

14b. EDISON PIPELINE FRANCHISE HEARING

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the public hearing be opened and that it be continued to March 10, 1992.

After Councilman Applegate noted that newly elected Councilmembers would be sworn in at the March 10th meeting, there was general concurrence that the subject matter should be postponed to March 17th, and the following action took place:

MOTION: Councilman Applegate moved that the subject matter be continued to March 17, 1992, 5:30 p.m. Councilman Mock seconded the motion and with no objections, it was so ordered by the Mayor.

City Council  
February 18, 1992

16. SECOND READING ORDINANCES

16a. ORDINANCE 3345

ORDINANCE NO. 3345

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TORRANCE, CALIFORNIA, AMENDING DIVISION 9 OF THE TORRANCE MUNICIPAL CODE TO RECLASSIFY CERTAIN PROPERTY SOUTH OF CARSON STREET BETWEEN CRENSHAW BOULEVARD AND DATE AVENUE FROM C-1 (RETAIL COMMERCIAL) AND R-2 (TWO-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL) TO PU (PUBLIC USE)

ZC 91-5: CITY OF TORRANCE

MOTION: Councilman Nakano moved to adopt Ordinance 3345 at its second and final reading. Councilman Walker seconded the motion and roll call vote proved unanimously favorable.

\*\*\*

At 9:20 p.m. the City Council recessed and reconvened as the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Torrance. The meeting of the Redevelopment Agency was adjourned at 9:21 p.m.

\*\*\*

19. ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

19a. The City Manager congratulated Brian and Brenda Sunshine on the birth of their daughter, Elise, born February 14, 1992.

19b. Councilwoman Hardison announced that the Torrance Coordinating Council and the Torrance Council of PTA's are sponsoring a gang seminar at Torrance Memorial Medical Center on February 26th.

19c. Councilman Nakano requested that action be taken to eliminate cut-through traffic through the residential area on Hickory Avenue south of Sepulveda Boulevard. The Director of Transportation provided clarification in this regard.

19d. Mayor Geissert commended the South Bay Ballet for its recent performance of Firebird at the James Armstrong Theater, and expressed enthusiasm as the public becomes more aware of the fine productions at the Theater and the Cultural Arts Center. The Valentines Dinner Dance was commended as well.

City Council  
February 18, 1992

19e. Mr. Patrick Long, 2421 Santa Cruz Court, discussed the City's notification policy and suggested that it be reviewed and improved to preclude situations such as that which occurred to residents as a result of construction at the northeast corner of Crenshaw and Sepulveda Boulevards (reference agenda Item 14a, Arkenberg).

19f. Mr. Dick Cahill, 1004 Sierra Place, thanked the Council for its recent action regarding P.E.R.S. funds.

19g. Life Scout James Brown, Boy Scouts of America, 2425 W. 165th Street, presented the City with a photo album of damaged curbs and gutters, as part of his Eagle Badge project.

20. EXECUTIVE SESSION

20a. EXECUTIVE SESSION MATTERS


Salaries, salary schedules and compensation for certain unrepresented employees and represented employee groups as well as certain other personnel matters.

Litigation entitled Securities and Exchange Commission vs. Institutional Treasury Management, Inc., United States District Court, Central District of California Civil Action Case No. 91-6715RG(Ex).

NO SESSION HELD.

21. ADJOURNMENT

At 9:40 p.m., the meeting was formally adjourned to February 25, 1992, 7:00 p.m.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Mayor of the City of Torrance

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk of the City of Torrance

Valerie Whippie  
Minute Secretary

City Council  
February 18, 1992